

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE



## GOVERNMENT OF GOA

**NOTE:** There is one Extraordinary issue to the Official Gazette, Sr. II No. 3 dt. 21-4-99 namely: Extraordinary dt. 21-4-99 from pages 37 to 40 regarding Order from Department of Public Health (Directorate of Food and Drugs Administration)

### GOVERNMENT OF GOA

#### Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Coop. Societies & Ex-Officio  
Jt. Secretary

#### Order

No. 96-1-93-MR/MKT/RCS

*Read:* Govt. Order No. 96/1/93-MR/MKT/RCS dated 3-4-98 deputing Shri Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, as Secretary to the Goa Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Margao.

Sanction of the Government is hereby conveyed to the extension of deputation period of Shri Vikas Mardolkar, Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies to the Goa Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Margao as Secretary for further period of one year from 14-4-1999 to 13-4-2000 on usual terms and conditions of deputation.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

S. D. Desai, Registrar of Coop. Societies & Ex-Officio Jt. Secretary.

Panaji, 5th April, 1999.

### Department of Finance ( Rev. & Cont. Division )

#### Notification

No. 6/3/98-Fin (R&C)

*Read:* Government Order No. 6/3/81-PER (Vol. VII) dated 11-1-1999.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Goa Tax on Luxuries (Hotels and Lodging Houses) Act, 1988 (Act 17 of 1988) (hereinafter called the said Act), the Government of Goa hereby appoints Shri Elvis Gomes as Assistant Commissioner of Luxury Tax, for the purposes of the said Act, for the whole of the State of Goa, with immediate effect, until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. S. Aras, Under Secretary (Finance-Exp).

Panaji, 15th March, 1999.

#### Notification

No. 6/3/98-Fin (R & C)

*Read:* Government Order No. 6/3/81-PER (Vol. VII) dated 11-1-1999.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 3 of the Goa Sales Tax Act, 1964 (Act 4 of 1964) (hereinafter called the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby appoints Shri Elvis Gomes as Assistant Commissioner of Sales Tax, for the purposes of the said Act, with immediate effect until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. S. Aras, Under Secretary (Finance-Exp).

Panaji, 15th March, 1999.

#### Notification

No. 6/3/98-Fin (R&C)

*Read:* Government Order No. 6/3/81-PER (Vol. VII) dated 11-1-1999.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 2A of the Goa, Daman and Diu Entertainment Tax Act, 1964 (Act 2 of 1964) (hereinafter called the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby appoints Shri Elvis Gomes as Assistant Commissioner of Entertainment Tax, for the purposes of the said Act, with immediate effect, until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

A. S. Aras, Under Secretary (Finance-Exp).

Panaji, 15th March, 1999.

#### Order

No. 11-4-79-Fin (Bud) Vol. I

Governor is pleased to re-constitute the State Advisory Board for National Savings under the Chairmanship

of the Chief Secretary and other official members as detailed below:—

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| 1. Chief Secretary                        | ... Chairman         |
| 2. Commissioner & Secretary (Finance)     | ... Vice-Chairman    |
| 3. Collector of North, Panaji             | ... Member           |
| 4. Collector of South, Margao             | ... Member           |
| 5. Labour Commissioner, Panaji            | ... Member           |
| 6. Director of Education                  | ... Member           |
| 7. Commissioner of Sales Tax              | ... Member           |
| 8. Commissioner of Excise                 | ... Member           |
| 9. Post Master General, Panaji            | ... Member           |
| 10. Acting Regional Director, NSO, Panaji | ... Member Secretary |

#### Formation

The Chief Secretary as the Chairman of the Board can co-opt any Member/Members.

#### Functions

The functions of the Board will be as follows:

1. To assist and advise Government in the matter of improvement of the working of Small Saving Movement in different parts of the State especially in rural areas.
2. To advise Government in the matter of publicity of Small Saving in the State.
3. To advise on measures for improvement of the working of the schemes in various Departments of the Administration.
4. To suggest measures for popularisation of small savings amongst different sections of population and render active Co-operation in this task.

All Executive Authority of the Board shall vest in the Finance Secretary who will issue orders in pursuance of the recommendation of the Board as accepted by the Government. The Board will meet once in six months.

Copies of the proceedings of the meetings of the Board will be sent to the National Savings Commissioner by the Member Secretary within a fortnight from the date of the meeting.

The Member Secretary shall attend to the administrative work of the Board or instructions of the Chairman as part of his normal duties.

#### Tenure

The Board will function for a period of two years in the first instance. This term may be extended by the Chairman for a further period of one year or less.

The official members attending the Board's meeting will be treated as on duty and will be entitled to TA/DA wherever admissible under the normal rules.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*L. F. Correia*, Under Secretary, Finance (Budget).

Panaji, 1st April, 1999.

## Department of Public Health

#### Order

No. 5/5/86-PHD/50

Government is pleased to redesignate the post of Community Psychiatrist created vide order No. 1/20/80-PHD dated 24-2-1981 as Lecturer in Psychiatry (Community Psychiatry), having the equal pay scale i.e. Rs. 10000-325-15200 as per the Vth Pay Commission.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Dr. J. M. Fernandes*, Ex-Officio-Additional-Secretary.

Panaji, 5th April, 1999.

## Department of Information & Publicity

#### Notification

No. DI/INF/Rght. Inf. Bill/(3)/97/115

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 11 of the Goa Right to Information Act, 1997 (Goa Act 28 of 1997) (hereinafter called the "said Act"), read with section 21 of the General Clauses Act, 1897 (Central Act 10 of 1897), the Government of Goa hereby amends the Government Notification No. DI/INF/Rght-Inf-Bill/ (3)/97-98/dated 11-3-1998, published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 52, dated 26-3-1998 (hereinafter called the 'said Notification') as follows:

In the said Notification,

- i) entries at serial No (1), (5) and (6) shall be omitted and against the entry at Sr. No. 1 the following shall be inserted namely:—

"1, Shri M. N. Buch  
Advisor to Governor ...invitee";

- ii) for entry at serial No. 2, following shall be substituted, namely:—

"2. Shri S. R. Sharma,  
Chief Secretary,";

- iii) for entry at serial No. 4, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"3. Shri Pukh Raj Bumb,  
Secretary (GA)".

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*K. V. Prabhugaonkar*, Director of Information & Publicity and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 12th April, 1999.

#### Notification

No. 18/1/96-INF. D./25

*Read:* Government Notification No 18-1-96-INF-D, dated 17-1-1996.

The Government of Goa is hereby pleased to amend the Government Notification No. 18/1/96-INF. D dated 17-1-1996, published in the

Official Gazette, Series II, No. 44 dated 1-2-1996 (hereinafter called the 'said Notification') as follows:

In the composition of the Advisory Committee in the said Notification,—

- (i) for entry at serial No. 1, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"I. Shri M. N. Buch,  
Advisor to Governor. ...Chairman."

- (ii) the entries at serial Nos. 2, 3 and 6 shall be omitted.,

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

K. V. Prabhugaonkar, Director of Information & Publicity and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 5th April, 1999.

### Department of Labour

#### Order

No. 28/2/90-LAB

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. G. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 7th October, 1992.

### IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL

#### GOVERNMENT OF GOA

AT PANAJI

(Before Shri M. A. Dhavale, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/4/90

Miss Babijan Darji &  
Smt. Kamlavva Modnawar

—Workmen/Party I

V/s

M/s Tyres India  
Bicholim Goa

—Employer/Party II

Panaji: Dated: 24-9-92

#### AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Government of Goa by its Order No. 28-2-90-LAB dated February 1, 1990 has referred the following issues for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Tyres India, Bicholim-Goa, in terminating the services of their workmen Miss Babijan Darji and Smt. Kamlavva Modnawar w. e. f. 7-5-1979 is legal and justified.

If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled? "

2. In receipt of this reference a case at No. IT/4/90 was registered and notices were issued to both the parties. However, notice sent to party-I Workmen was returned with an endorsement that the "Addressee Left", and hence it was returned to the Tribunal. Thereafter, the matter was posted for filing Claim Statement and eventually on 16-11-91 Shri Devari remained present on behalf of Party-I and submitted that the matter was likely to be settled. Hence, the matter was adjourned to 19-11-91. On that date Shri Devari requested for time to file a memo of appearance. However, since the workmen were absent, notices were sent to them, but they did not appear before this Tribunal on the date fixed for their appearance. Hence, Shri Devari again requested this Tribunal that he should be given time to get the addresses of the workmen. But, even than no address was given by Shri Devari and since 16-12-91, the matter is pending. However, there is no appearance on behalf of Party I- Workmen and hence in these circumstances, it can legitimately be concluded that party-I workmen have no interest in proceeding with this matter and hence, the only course now open for me is to dismiss this reference for want of workmen's appearance.

3. I, therefore, pass the following order.

#### Order

"Reference is dismissed for want of workmen's appearance"

Sd/-  
(M. A. DHAVALE)  
Presiding Officer  
Industrial Tribunal

#### Order

No. 28/24/92-LAB

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. G. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 12th October, 1992.

### IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL

#### GOVERNMENT OF GOA

AT PANAJI

(Before Shri M. A. Dhavale, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/43/92

Shri Hanumant H. Gokarnekar

— Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s K. T. C., Panaji

— Employer/Party II

Panaji, Dated 23-9-1992

Workman represented by Shri Anand Kundaikar

Employer represented by Shri D. S. Saudagar

### AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section 1 of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Government of Goa by its order No. 28/24/92-LAB dated 17-7-1992 has referred the following issues for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd. in suspending Shri Hanumant H. Gokarnekar, Conductor, for two days i. e. 29-7-90 and 30-7-90, is legal and justified.

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of this reference, a case at No. IT/43/92 was registered and notices were issued to both the parties in response to which they appeared before this Tribunal.

3. Party No. I (Shri Hanumant H. Gokarnekar, hereinafter called as the workman) has filed his statement of claim (Exb. 4) whereas he has averred as follows:

"The workman was serving with party II-M/s Kadamba Transport Corporation (hereinafter called as the Employer-Corporation) as a Conductor since last five years. At present, he is attached to Porvorim depot. The Employer-Corporation has its registered office at New Kadamba Bus Terminus at Panaji stand. When the workman was honestly discharging his duties, he was suspended for two days i. e. 29-7-90 and 30-7-90 by order No. DTC/DM/TRF/PD/26/90-91/176 dated 24-7-90. Thereafter, the dispute was referred to the Labour Commissioner for conciliation. However, there was no settlement and hence a failure report was submitted in response to which the Government was pleased to make the above reference to this Tribunal. However, it has been submitted that this present reference is infructuous in so far as the suspension order passed by the Corporation has been revoked in its order No. KTC/DM/20/92-93/462 dated 7-7-92 and hence the dispute no more exists between the parties. Hence, it has been submitted that this Tribunal cannot take cognizance of the reference since the cause of action has ceased to exist and hence, it has been prayed that the reference be disposed."

4. Party II-Corporation appeared through its advisor Shri D. S. Saudagar. However, he did not file any Written Statement, obviously in view of the fact that the dispute between the parties had ceased to exist.

5. At the time of hearing, one more application at Exb. 5 has been submitted by the Workman. He has also produced a copy of the order dated 7-7-92 in which the suspension order passed against the workman has been revoked. In view of this state of affairs, it follows that the reference does not survive and hence the same is liable to be dismissed. I, therefore, pass the following order:

### ORDER

The reference stands dismissed with no order as to costs. Government be informed of this order.

Sd-  
(M. A. DHAVALA)  
Presiding Officer  
Industrial Tribunal

### Order

No. 28/56/91-LAB

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. G. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 7th October, 1992.

### IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

AT PANAJI

(Before Shri M. A. Dhavale, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/22/92

Shri Shaikh Ahamad

— Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s G. K. Kalangutkar

Mapusa Goa

— Employer/Party II

Panaji, Dated 30-9-1992

### AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act 1947, Government of Goa, by its order No. 28/56/91-LAB dated 11-2-1992 has referred the following issues for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the Management of M/s G. K. Kalangutkar, Mapusa-Goa in terminating the services of Shri Shaikh Ahamad, Salesman, w.e.f. 19-4-1991 is legal and justified?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. On receipt of this reference, a case at No. IT/22/92 was registered and notices were issued to both the parties. Party I-Shri Shaikh Ahamad (hereinafter called as workman) has filed his statement of claim (Exb.3) wherein he has averred as follows:

3. Party 2-M/s G.K. Kalangutkar (hereinafter called as Employer) is a proprietary concern, registered under Shops and Establishment Act. Shri Shaikh Ahamad was serving as a salesman in the Employer's concern since 1982. However, his services were illegally terminated w.e.f. 19-4-91. The last salary drawn by the workman was Rs. 700/- p.m. After his dismissal, the workman requested the Employer to reinstate him in service with full back wages and continuity of service. However, the Employer did not respond to his request and hence, the Workman approached the Dy. Labour Commissioner by letter dated 27-4-91. However, the Employer did not appear in the Conciliation Proceedings and hence, a failure report was submitted to the Government, and hence, the Government was pleased to refer this dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal. The Workman is unemployed and hence, he has prayed that the order of dismissal against him should be set aside and he should be reinstated with other incidental reliefs.

4. The notice to this proceeding was duly served upon Party II-proprietor, Shri G. K. Kalangutkar, as can be seen from the postal A. D. receipt at Exb. 2. However, he remained absent throughout the proceedings which were adjourned from time to time. Hence, the only course open for him was to proceed Ex-parte against the Employer in view of the provisions contained in rule 10-E(a) of the Central Rules framed under the Industrial Dispute Act.

5. In support of his claim, the Workman has examined himself at Exb. 4 and he has also produced two documents at Exb. 5 and 6. In his sworn testimony, the Workman has stated that he was serving with party II since January 1983. His last salary was Rs. 700/- p. m. Party II deals in stationery and cuttlery articles. There was one more salesman by name Shri Ulhas Dhargalkar. The Workman has stated that, on 17-4-91, there was idd and hence he told the Employer that he would not be reporting for duties on 17th and 18th of April, 1991. However, on 18-4-91, the Employer went to his house in the absence of the workman and told his mother to tell the workman not to join on 19-4-91. Even then, in the morning of 19-4-91, the workman went to resume his duties, but the Employer did not allow him to join, and instead, he told him that

he had engaged one salesman by name Shri Dharmendra Shetye. He has, however, stated that he was not paid his legal dues. Hence, he raised a dispute before the Dy. Labour Commissioner, a copy of which has been produced at Exb. 7. However, the Employer did not participate in the conciliation proceedings and hence, a failure report was submitted by the Dy. Labour Commissioner, a copy of which can be found at Exb. 6. This is all the evidence given by the workman in support of his allegation. Now, all this evidence has gone unchallenged, in view of the absence of the Employer, and hence, there is no reason why the same should not be accepted as substantially true. If at all, the Employer had any defence to make, he would not have normally remained absent before the Tribunal. I, therefore, accept the evidence tendered by the workman and hold that his services were illegally terminated by the Employer without following the procedure laid down in the Industrial Dispute Act. Hence, after setting aside the order of dismissal, I direct the Employer to reinstate the Workman by giving his full back wages and with continuity of service. I, therefore, pass the following order.

## ORDER

It is hereby declared that the services of Party I- Shri Shaikh Ahamad were illegally terminated by Party II- M/s G. K. Kalangutkar, Employer, and hence, Party II is hereby directed to reinstate Party I in his services and to pay him full back wages with continuity of service.

No order as to cost.

Government be informed of this award.

Sd/-  
(M. A. DHAVALA)  
Presiding officer  
Industrial Tribunal

◆ ◆ ◆  
Department of Mines

## Order

No. 5/17/89-ILD

Whereas M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. vide application dated 21-11-88 (hereinafter called the "applicant"), have applied for renewal of mining lease (T. C. No. 12 of 1956) for Iron & manganese ore over an area of 52.2750 Ha. of land situated at village Naiquinim of Sanguem Taluka.

And whereas vide Order No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-90, the said application was rejected by the State Government for failure on the part of the applicant to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Order dated 1-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of refusal of the State Government with a direction to the applicant to submit the approved mining plan within a period of one year;

And whereas the applicant has so far failed to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 23-11-1998 read with Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 6-1-99, a personal hearing was fixed on 19-1-99 at 10.30 a.m. in the chamber of the undersigned at EDC HOUSE for the applicant to show cause as to why the application for renewal of mining lease should not be rejected on account of failure on the part of the applicant to submit the mining plan duly approved by the Central Government within the period stipulated by the Revisional Authority;

And whereas the applicant neither attended said personal hearing nor sent any communication to show cause why the mining plan could not be submitted by them.

Now, therefore, I, Shri Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines), in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (1) of rule 26 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, in pursuance of Government Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dated 17-11-1989, hereby reject the application dated 21-11-88 of the applicant M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease over the area in question.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines).

Panaji, 26th March, 1999.

## Order

No. 5/17/89-ILD

Whereas M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. vide application dated 21-11-88 (hereinafter called the "applicant"), have applied for renewal of mining lease (T. C. No. 1/ 1952) for Iron & Manganese ore over an area of 38.00 Ha. of land situated at village Naiquinim of Sanguem Taluka.

And whereas vide Order No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-90, the said application was rejected by the State Government for failure on the part of the applicant to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Order dated 1-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of refusal of the State Government with a direction to the applicant to submit the approved mining plan within a period of one year;

And whereas the applicant has so far failed to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 23-11-1998 read with Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 6-1-99, a personal hearing was fixed on 19-1-99 at 10.30 a.m. in the chamber of the undersigned at EDC HOUSE for the applicant to show cause as to why the application for renewal of mining lease should not be rejected on account of failure on the part of the applicant to submit the mining plan duly approved by the Central Government within the period stipulated by the Revisional Authority;

And whereas the applicant neither attended said personal hearing nor sent any communication to show cause why the mining plan could not be submitted by them.

Now, therefore, I, Shri Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines), in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (1) of rule 26 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, in pursuance of Government Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dated 17-11-1989, hereby reject the application dated 21-11-88 of the applicant M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease over the area in question.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines).

Panaji, 26th March, 1999.

## Order

No. 5/17/89-ILD

Whereas M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. vide application dated 21-11-88 (hereinafter called the "applicant"), have applied for renewal of mining lease (T. C. No. 50 of 1952) for Iron & manganese ore over an area of 48.20 Ha. of land situated at village Naiquinim of Sanguem Taluka.

And whereas vide Order No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-90, the said application was rejected by the State Government for failure on the part of the applicant to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Order dated 1-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of refusal of the State Government with a direction to the applicant to submit the approved mining plan within a period of one year;

And whereas the applicant has so far failed to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 23-11-1998 read with Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 6-1-99, a personal hearing was fixed on 19-1-99 at 10.30 a.m. in the chamber of the undersigned at EDC HOUSE for the applicant to show cause as to why the application for renewal of mining lease should not be rejected on account of failure on the part of the applicant to submit the mining plan duly approved by the Central Government within the period stipulated by the Revisional Authority;

And whereas the applicant neither attended the said personal hearing nor sent any communication to show cause why the mining plan could not be submitted by them.

Now, therefore, I, Shri Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines), in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (1) of rule 26 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, in pursuance of Government Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dated 17-11-1989, hereby reject the application dated 21-11-88 of the applicant M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease over the area in question.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines).*

Panaji, 26th March, 1999.

#### Order

No. 5/17/89-ILD

Whereas M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. vide application dated 21-11-88 (hereinafter called the "applicant"), have applied for renewal of mining lease (T. C. No. 3 of 1949) for Iron & ore over an area of 99.7319 Ha. of land situated at village Salaulim of Sanguem Taluka.

And whereas vide Order. No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-90, the said application was rejected by the State Government for failure on the part of the applicant to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Order dated 1-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of refusal of the State Government with a direction to the applicant to submit the approved mining plan within a period of one year;

And whereas the applicant has so far failed to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 23-11-1998 read with Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 6-1-99, a personal hearing was fixed on 19-1-99 at 10.30 a.m. in the chamber of the undersigned at EDC HOUSE for the applicant to show cause as to why the application for renewal of mining lease should not be rejected on account of failure on the part of the applicant to submit the mining plan duly approved by the Central Government within the period stipulated by the Revisional Authority;

And whereas the applicant neither attended said personal hearing nor sent any communication to show cause why the mining plan could not be submitted by them.

Now, therefore, I, Shri Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines), in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (1) of rule 26 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, in pursuance of Government Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dated 17-11-1989, hereby reject the application dated 21-11-88 of the applicant M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease over the area in question.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines).*

Panaji, 26th March, 1999.

#### Order

No. 5/17/89-ILD

Whereas M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. vide application dated 21-11-88 (hereinafter called the "applicant"), have applied for renewal of mining lease (T. C. No. 5 of 1960) for Iron ore over an area of 94.52 Ha. of land situated at village Salaulim of Sanguem Taluka.

And whereas vide Order No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-90, the said application was rejected by the State Government for failure on the part of the applicant to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Order dated 1-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of refusal of the State Government with a direction to the applicant to submit the approved mining plan within a period of one year;

And whereas the applicant has so far failed to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 23-11-1998 read with Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 6-1-99, a personal hearing was fixed on 19-1-99 at 10.30 a.m. in the chamber of the undersigned at EDC HOUSE for the applicant to show cause as to why the application for renewal of mining lease should not be rejected on account of failure on the part of the applicant to submit the mining plan duly approved by the Central Government within the period stipulated by the Revisional Authority;

And whereas the applicant neither attended the said personal hearing nor sent any communication to show cause why the mining plan could not be submitted by them.

Now, therefore, I, Shri Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines), in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (1) of rule 26 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, in pursuance of Government Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dated 17-11-1989, hereby reject the application dated 21-11-88 of the applicant M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease over the area in question.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines).*

Panaji, 26th March, 1999.

**Order**

No. 5/17/89-ILD

Whereas M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. vide application dated 21-11-88 (hereinafter called the "applicant"), have applied for renewal of mining lease (T. C. No. 5 of 1956) for Iron ore over an area of 99.99 Ha. of land situated at village Batim of Sanguem Taluka.

And whereas vide Order No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-90, the said application was rejected by the State Government for failure on the part of the applicant to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Order dated 1-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of refusal of the State Government with a direction to the applicant to submit the approved mining plan within a period of one year;

And whereas the applicant has so far failed to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 23-11-1998 read with Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 6-1-99, a personal hearing was fixed on 19-1-99 at 10.30 a.m. in the chamber of the undersigned at EDC HOUSE for the applicant to show cause as to why the application for renewal of mining lease should not be rejected on account of failure on the part of the applicant to submit the mining plan duly approved by the Central Government within the period stipulated by the Revisional Authority;

And whereas the applicant neither attended the said personal hearing nor sent any communication to show cause why the mining plan could not be submitted by them.

Now, therefore, I, Shri Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines), in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (1) of rule 26 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, in pursuance of Government Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dated 17-11-1989, hereby reject the application dated 21-11-88 of the applicant M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease over the area in question.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Rakesh Mehta*, Secretary (Mines).

Panaji, 26th March, 1999.

**Order**

No. 5/17/89-ILD

Whereas M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. vide application dated 21-11-88 (hereinafter called the "applicant"), have applied for renewal of mining lease (T. C. No. 62 of 1959) for Iron ore over an area of 87.20 Ha. of land situated at village Salaulim of Sanguem Taluka.

And whereas vide Order No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-90, the said application was rejected by the State Government for failure on the part of the applicant to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Order dated 1-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of refusal of the State Government with a direction to the applicant to submit the approved mining plan within a period of one year;

And whereas the applicant has so far failed to submit the approved mining plan;

And whereas vide Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 23-11-1998 read with Notice No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 6-1-99, a personal hearing was fixed

on 19-1-99 at 10.30 a.m. in the chamber of the undersigned at EDC HOUSE for the applicant to show cause as to why the application for renewal of mining lease should not be rejected on account of failure on the part of the applicant to submit the mining plan duly approved by the Central Government within the period stipulated by the Revisional Authority;

And whereas the applicant neither attended the said personal hearing nor sent any communication to show cause why the mining plan could not be submitted by them.

Now, therefore, I, Shri Rakesh Mehta, Secretary (Mines), in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (1) of rule 26 of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, in pursuance of Government Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dated 17-11-1989, hereby reject the application dated 21-11-88 of the applicant M/s. S. Kantilal & Company Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease over the area in question.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Rakesh Mehta*, Secretary (Mines).

Panaji, 26th March, 1999.

**Department of Personnel****Order**

No. 6/9/91-PER

Government of Goa is pleased to order the transfer and posting of the following Senior Scale Officers of Goa Civil Service with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name and designation of the Officer	Transferred and posted as
1	2	3
1.	Shri S. S. Byali, Director of Civil Supplies, Panaji,	Chief Executive Officer, Khadi & Village Industries Board, Panaji (on deputation) thereby relieving Shri S. S. Keshkamat of the additional charge.
2.	Shri S. P. Dixit, Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.	Director of Civil Supplies, Panaji vice Shri S. S. Byali transferred.

2. Shri S. S. Byali shall be on deputation initially for a period of one year, and will be governed by the standard terms of deputation as contained in this Department's O.M. No. 13/4/74-PER dated 10-10-1990 as amended from time to time.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Armando Mascarenhas*, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 1st January, 1999.

**Order**

No. 6/3/81-PER (Vol. VII)

Government of Goa is pleased to order the transfer and posting of the following Junior Scale Officers of Goa Civil Service with immediate effect:—

Sr. No.	Name and designation of the Officer	Transferred and posted as
1	2	3
1.	Shri D. H. Kenaudekar Asst. Commissioner of Sales Tax, Panaji.	Deputy Collector & S.D.O., Tiswadi vice Shri K. S. Pooniah transferred.
2.	Shri K. S. Pooniah Deputy Collector & S. D.O., Tiswadi.	Deputy Collector (LA), North vice Shri Elvis Gomes transferred.
3.	Shri Elvis Gomes Deputy Collector (LA), North.	Asst. Commissioner of Sales Tax vice Shri Kenaudekar transferred.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Armando Mascarenhas*, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 11th January, 1999.

**Order**

No. 7/5/92-PER

Shri M. G. Pai, Assistant Professor, Goa College of Pharmacy shall hold the temporary charge of the post of Principal, Goa College of

Pharmacy in addition to his own duties till further orders, without any extra remuneration.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*Armando Mascarenhas*, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 10th February, 1999.

**Department of Rural Development & R.D.A.****Order**

No. 9/1/RDA/98-99/68

Government is pleased to appoint Shri Rakesh Mehta, IAS, Development Commissioner of Government of Goa as Chairman of State Level Sanctioning Committee and General Body of Rural Development Agency Goa.

The above order will come into force with immediate effect. This is issued in supersession of all prior orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*K.G. Sharma*, Project Director, Joint Secretary to Government.

Panaji, 1st April, 1999.